UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                    | FILING DATE               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/734,500                         | 12/12/2003                | Demetrius Bagley     | 1016740027P2        | 1614             |
| 34284<br>Rutan & Tucker            | 7590 05/11/200<br>r, LLP. | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 611 ANTON B                        |                           | RYCKMAN, MELISSA K   |                     |                  |
| SUITE 1400<br>COSTA MESA, CA 92626 |                           |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                    |                           |                      | 3773                |                  |
|                                    |                           |                      |                     |                  |
|                                    |                           |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                                    |                           |                      | 05/11/2009          | PAPER            |

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
|   | 10/734,500   | BAGLEY ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |
|   | MELISSA RYCKMAN  | 3773  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address                                 |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,   | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timurily apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from | I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. |  |  |  |
| Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Second     This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E   | action is non-final.<br>nce except for formal matters, pro   |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) 23,24,33,34,37,38 and 41-43 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 23,24,33,34,37,38 and 41-43 is/are re 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | vn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction   | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj             | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/4/09.  | 4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:  | ite   |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/734,500 Page 2

Art Unit: 3773

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to arguments filed 2/13/09. The applicant's arguments regarding the previous restriction is persuasive, and has been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23, 24, 37, 38, 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Utsugi (US 4198960) and further in view of Nishtala et al. (US 6371963 B1).

Utsugi teaches a retrieval device comprising:

- A hollow handle (Fig. 1)
- An elongate sheath (30) extending from the handle
- A three-dimensional basket (33) having at least three legs, the distal end is coupled to a tip member (34), the proximal end of first and second legs being connected to the distal end of the first operating member, and the proximal end of a third leg being connected to the distal end of the second operating member ("col. 4, II. 36-43, "the trapping wires 33 are individually operated")
- A first actuator (col. 4, II. 36-43, "the trapping wires 33 are individually operated")
   configured to extend a first operating member so as to extend the first and
   second legs, and to simultaneously retract the second operating member so as

Application/Control Number: 10/734,500 Page 3

Art Unit: 3773

to retrace a third leg (Fig. 7), such that the tip member is displaced rearward and the first and second legs are displaced away from each other to facilitate maneuvering the basket around an object (Fig. 7)

- A second actuator (col. 4, II. 36-43, "the trapping wires 33 are individually operated") configured to simultaneously extend or retract the legs of the basket such that the basket translates forward or rearward depending on the direction of manipulation of the second actuator (capable of simultaneously moving)
   Utsugi teaches (col. 4, II. 36-43), "the trapping wires 33 are individually operated", but does not specify operating members. However, Nishtalal teaches the following limitations allowing for individual operation:
- A first operating member (115) having a proximal end positioned within the handle (Fig. 1) and a distal end positioned adjacent the distal end of the sheath (sheath near 125 in Fig. 1) such that the first operating member extends from within the handle and into the sheath
- A second operating member (113) having a proximal end positioned within the handle (Fig. 1) and a distal end positioned adjacent the distal end of the sheath (Fig. 1) such that the second operating member extends from within the handle and into the sheath
- Wherein the first actuator comprises a wheel (117)
- Wherein the second actuator comprises a slide (119) that is actuated by axially translating the slide
- The operating members comprise tubes (113 and 115)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Utsugi and Nishtala as having a wheel for an actuator and a slide for a second actuator are commonly known actuators in the art, and are useful in maneuvering objects. The substitution of the actuation of Utsugi with the actuator of Nishtala, the replacement would be expected to yield a device which actuates the basket as described in the claims (this is a predicatable result).

Claims 33 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Utsugi and Nishtala, as applied to claims 23 above, and further in view of McClellan et al. (US 2002/0019594).

The combination of Utsugi and Nishtala teach all limitations of preceding dependent claim 23, but fails to teach wherein the legs are formed of shape memory material. McClellan teaches a surgical retrieval device wherein the legs are formed of shape memory material in order to aid in releasing the basket from its radially restrained configuration for insertion. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Utsugi and Nishtala with shape memory material in order to aid in releasing the basket from its radially restrained configuration for insertion.

Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Utsugi and Nishtala as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Bates (U.S. Patent No. 6,527,781).

Utsugi and Rydell teach the claimed invention but do not include the tip member comprises a hole, each leg being secured to the tip member by inserting the forward end of the leg into the hole and crimping the tip member. However, Bates teaches a medical retrieval device comprising a three dimensional basket formed of legs joined at a junction (cap) by soldering, gluing or any means known in the art in order to provide an atraumatic wire basket. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of Rydell and Utsugi to provide the junction of Bates in order to provide an atraumatic wire basket.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MELISSA RYCKMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-9969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571)-272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,500 Page 6

Art Unit: 3773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MKR /Melissa Ryckman/ Examiner, Art Unit 3773

/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773